

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,389	03/08/2000	Shigeru Okamoto	1508.63671	9947
7.	590 11/18/2002			١
PATRICK G. BURNS, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
GREER,BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. 300 S. WACKER DR. SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, IL 60606		MALDONADO, JULIO J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2823	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Me				
	Application No.	licant(s)				
	09/521,389	OKAMOTO, SHIGERU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a riming if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the complex of the complex of the maximum statutory perions of the complex of the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tine eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O.	3 September 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ -	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 38-44</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 38-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	đ					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` ,				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International B</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language present</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	rovisional application has been rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 3 and 5-7 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Stevens et al. (U.S. 5,070,036). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 2. The addition of claims 38-44 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 38-44 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-7 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens (U.S. 5,070,036).

In reference to claim 1, Stevens (Fig.1) teaches an interconnecting semiconductor device comprising any one of an opening part (3) or depressed part formed in an insulating layer (2) on a substrate (1); a barrier layer (6) covering said opening part (3) or depressed part, said barrier layer being made of a first material; a metal growth promoting layer (7) on said barrier layer (6), wherein said metal growth promoting layer comprises titanium nitride containing a lower oxygen concentration than said barrier layer (6) and is different from said first material; and an electroconductive layer (8, 9) comprising aluminum embedded in said opening part (3) or said depressed

Art Unit: 2823

part via said barrier layer (6) and said metal growth promoting layer (7), wherein said barrier layer (6) and said growth promoting layer (7) comprises a ground layer comprising titanium nitride containing oxygen at a high concentration at a lower part and at a low concentration in the upper part thereof (column 6, line 66 – column 11, line 61).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens ('036) in view of Hoshino (U.S. 4,910,169).

Stevens substantially teaches all aspects of the invention but fails to teach selecting the barrier layer from the group consisting of WN<sub>x</sub> and TaN<sub>x</sub>, wherein x is a variable such that  $0 \le x \ge 1$ . However, Hoshino (Fig.1A) in a related art to the formation of an interconnect structure teaches a barrier layer selected from the group consisting of WN<sub>x</sub> and TaN<sub>x</sub>, wherein x is a variable such that  $0 \le x \ge 1$  (column 2, line 65 – column 3, line 18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a barrier layer as taught by Hoshino in the interconnect structure of Stevens, since this would prevent diffusion between layers (column 3, lines 4-9).

8. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens ('036) in view of Lee (U.S. 5,552,341).

Application/Control Number: 09/521,389

Art Unit: 2823

2 %

Stevens substantially teaches all aspects of the invention but fails to show the barrier layer comprises TiSiN. However, Lee (Fig.9) teaches an interconnect device including a barrier layer comprising TiSiN (column 10, lines 6-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a barrier layer as taught by Lee in the interconnect structure of Stevens, since this would improve the step coverage of the metallic interconnect (column 8, lines 13-24).

9. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens ('036) in view of Mu et al. (U.S. 5,612,254).

Stevens substantially teaches all aspects of the invention but fails to show a diffusion barrier comprising  $Al_2O_3$ . However, Mu et al. teach an interconnect structure comprising a barrier layer (not shown) comprising aluminum oxide (column 4, lines 38-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the barrier layer as taught by Mu et al. in the interconnect structure of Stevens, since it would prevent diffusion between layers in the interconnect device (column 4, lines 38-55).

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 38-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2823 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2823 via the Art Unit 2823

Application/Control Number: 09/521,389

Art Unit: 2823

1 6

Page 5

Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center number is **(703) 305-3432**. The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2823 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Julio J. Maldonado** at **(703)** 306-0098 and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via <u>julio.maldonado@uspto.gov</u>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Group 2800 Receptionist** at **(703) 308-0956**.

Julio J. Maldonado
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2823
703-306-0098
julio.maldonado@uspto.gov